Applied Machine Learning for Business Analytics Lecture 4: LLM I

Lecturer: Zhao Rui

How to read model-card from Huggingface



Agenda

- 1. Intro to LLM
- 2. GPT
- 3. Scaling Law
- 4. LLMs' Training

1. Intro to LLM

What is LLM

Large language models (LLMs) mainly refer to transformer-based neural language models ¹ that contain tens to hundreds of billions of parameters, which are pretrained on massive text data, such as PaLM [31], LLaMA [32], and GPT-4 [33], as summarized in Table III. Compared

Source: https://arxiv.org/pdf/2402.06196.pdf

What is LLM

Large Language Model (LLM)





Write a poem about the company Scale A

Scale AI, so full of might, Making lives easier with such insight, Artificial Intelligence is your guide, Growth and innovation, you provide. From self-driving cars to drones in filiab

LLM Inference



LLM is compressing the Internet

Google DeepMind

Language Modeling Is Compression

Grégoire Delétang^{*1}, Anian Ruoss^{*1}, Paul-Ambroise Duquenne², Elliot Catt¹, Tim Genewein¹, Christopher Mattern¹, Jordi Grau-Moya¹, Li Kevin Wenliang¹, Matthew Aitchison¹, Laurent Orseau¹, Marcus Hutter¹ and Joel Veness¹

*Equal contributions, ¹Google DeepMind, ²Meta AI & Inria













1024 A100 GPUs, 34 days \$5Mdata

GPT3 ~175B

LLM's Evolution Process



Fig. 2: An evolution process of the four generations of language models (LM) from the perspective of task solving capacity. Note that the time period for each stage may not be very accurate, and we set the time mainly according to the publish date of the most representative studies at each stage. For neural language models, we abbreviate the paper titles of two representative studies to name the two approaches: NPLM [1] ("A neural probabilistic language model") and NLPS [2] ("Natural language processing (almost) from scratch"). Due to the space limitation, we don't list all representative studies in this figure.

LLM Pretraining

- LLM
 - Pre-trained by large-scale unannotated corpus
- Training target: token prediction
 - For GPT/decoder: next token prediction in sequence
 - For BERT/encoder: in-context token prediction



Word prediction is absorbing knowledge

"Transformer architecture" redirects here. For the design of electrical transformers, see Transformer.

A **transformer** is a deep learning architecture based on the multi-head attention mechanism^[1] It is notable for not containing any recurrent units, and thus requires less training time than previous recurrent neural architectures, such as long short-term memory (LSTM),^[2] and its later variation has been prevalently adopted for training large language models on large (language) datasets, such as the Wikipedia corpus and Common Crawl.^[3] Input text is split into n-grams encoded as tokens and each token is converted into a vector via looking up from a word embedding table. At each layer, each token is then contextualized within the scope of the context window with other (unmasked) tokens via a parallel multi-head attention mechanism allowing the signal for key tokens to be amplified and less important tokens to be diminished. Though the transformer paper was published in 2017, the softmax-based attention mechanism was proposed in 2014 for machine translation,^{[4][5]} and the Fast Weight Controller, similar to a transformer, was proposed in 1992.^{[6][7][8]}

This architecture is now used not only in natural language processing and computer vision,^[9] but also in audio^[10] and multi-modal processing. It has also led to the development of pre-trained systems, such as generative pre-trained transformers (GPTs)^[11] and BERT^[12] (Bidirectional Encoder Representations from Transformers).

Transformer is the backbone of LLMs



Business analytics is the practice of using data analysis and statistical methods to make informed business decisions. It involves the use of techniques such as data mining, data visualization, and predictive modeling to identify patterns and insights within data that can inform business strategies and actions.

Basiness analytics can help organizations improve their decision-making by providing data-driven insights into areas such as clusteme bahavior, market tends, product performance, and operational efficiency. By analyzing data from various sources, such as customer surveys, social media, and sales reports, businesses can identify opportunities for growth, optimize their operations, and make more informat decisions.

Business marylots is a broad field that encompasses many different techniques and approaches, including descriptive analytics, which focuses on summarizing and visualizing data, predictive analytics, which uses statistical modeling and machine learning to make predictions about future outcomes; and prescriptive analytics, which suggests ophimal ocurses of action based on data analytis.

business Overall, business analytics is a powerful tool for businesses of all sizes and industries, and prob(next token | input text) analytics data What is business Business analytics? words analytics What is business data analytics analytics? Business END What is business analytics? Business END analytics is the 12

How does it work

practice.....

How does it work

- It is a blackbox
 - Billions of parameters with nonlinear mapping
 - We can measure that this works
 - But we do not understand the full details that how those parameters collaborate to predict the next token
 - Sometimes, the performance is a bit strange and imperfect
 - Hallucination
 - Reversal Curse
 - ∎ Etc



How many 'm's are in the word 'Weather'?





Figure 1: **Inconsistent knowledge in GPT-4.** GPT-4 correctly gives the name of Tom Cruise's mother (left). Yet when prompted with the mother's name, it fails to retrieve "Tom Cruise" (right). We hypothesize this ordering effect is due to the Reversal Curse. Models trained on "A is B" (e.g. "Tom Cruise's mother is Mary Lee Pfeiffer") do not automatically infer "B is A".

source : https://arxiv.org/pdf/2309.12288.pdf



Source: https://arxiv.org/pdf/2402.06196.pdf



Recall on What is BERT

- Bidirectional Encoder Representations from Transformers (BERT)
- BERT: Encoder of Transformer,



Given a sequence of words, generate a sequence of vectors and then can be used for various NLP tasks





What is GPT

Generative Pre-trained Transformer

- GPT: Decoder only of Transformer
- Goal: Learn how to generate high-quality text

Improving Language Understanding by Generative Pre-Training

Alec Radford Karthik Narasimhan Tim Salimans Ilya Satskever OpenAl OpenAl OpenAl OpenAl OpenAl lec@openai.com karthikz@openai.com tim@openai.com

Abstract

Variant length schematical networks, with range of driven which with the schematical schematical schematical schematical schematical because of schematical schema

Abstract computers assamling. We used the to many transfer more competent generalists. We would like to move towards more general systems which can perform many tasks - eventually without the need to manually create and label a training Natural language processing tasks, such as question answering, machine translation, reading com-prehension, and summarization, are typically approached with supervised learning on task-specific datasets. We demonstrate that language dataset for each one. The dominant approach to creating ML systems is to col-The dominant approach to creating out, system is to con-lect a dataset of training samples demonstrating correct behavior, and then test its performance on independent and identically distributed (IID) hdd-out examples. This models begin to learn these tasks without any explicit supervision when trained on a new dataset of millions of webpages called WebText. When conditioned on a document plus questions, the an-worn generated by the language model reach 55 F1 on the CoQA dataset - matching or exceeding has served well to make progress on parrow experts. But this server were to make progress on narrow experts. But the often errors behavior of captioning models (Lake et al., 2017), enading comprehension systems (Ia & Liang, 2017), and image classifiers (Alcorn et al., 2018) on the diversity and variety of possible inputs highlights some of the shortthe performance of 3 out of 4 baseline systems without using the 127,000+ training examples the capacity of the language model is essential to the success of zero-shot task transfer and incomings of this approach. creasing it improves performance in a log-linear fashion across tasks. Our largest model, GPT-2, Our suspecton is that the prevatence of single task training on single domain datasets is a major contributor to the lack of generalization observed in current systems. Progress is a 1.5B parameter Transformer that achieves state of the art results on 7 out of 8 tested lan-guage modeling datasets in a zero-shot setting but still underlits WebText. Samples from the towards robust systems with current architectures is likel to require training and measuring performance on a wide range of domains and tasks. Recently, several benchmark have been proposed such as GLUE (Wang et al., 2018) and decaNLP (McCann et al., 2018) to begin studying this. model reflect these improvements and contain coherent pararrachs of text. These findings surrest

Language Models are Unsupervised Multitask Learners

Alec Radford *1 Jeffrey Wu *1 Rewon Child 1 David Luan 1 Dario Amodei **1 Bya Sutskever **

herers parcing provide study. These indings suggests promising path search building language proeasing systems which learns perform tasks from their namely covering demonstrations. Tan B. Enner," Benjarih Marai, "Na Bajatar," Madari Sahka Jarra Kagar, "Jadah Blanner, Jarrah Nadakatan, Puncu Yang, "Daka Manaha Jadah, Sahaha Sandari, Kagar, Jadon Yu, Casara, Tan Hi Kana, Saha Janga Manaha, Bandri K. Kagar, Jadon Yu, Casara, "Daka Chanapatenthem Marc Nan, Ka Sular, "Manamatan kent Dakapatenthem Marc Casa, Ka Sular, "Manamatan kent Rajatan Dasa, Janaka Maraka, Sahaharan Sahara, Sahaharan Rajatan Dasa, Janaka Maraka, Sahaharan Sahara, Sahaharan Saha Sulanaha, Aka Badari, Spikadaran Barta, Ianaharan

Language Models are Few-Shot Learners

Abstract

Note with the descention of advanced proton are VF-tota and hardworks the provided methods and the proton of the descention of a section of the proton of the descention of the descention of the proton of the descention of t

GPT3



GPT2

GPT3

Language Models are Few-Shot Learners

wn*	Benjamin	Mann*	Nick F	Ryder* Me	lanie Subbiah*
Prafulla	Dhariwal	Arvind No	eelakantan	Pranav Shyam	Girish Sastry
Sandhini	Agarwal	Ariel Herb	ert-Voss	Gretchen Krueger	Tom Henigha
Aditya	Ramesh	Daniel M.	Ziegler	Jeffrey Wu	Clemens Winter
esse	Mark Chen	Eric	Sigler	Mateusz Litwin	Scott Gray
Benjamin Chess		Jack Clark		Christopher Berner	
dlish	Alec Ra	dford	Ilya Su	ıtskever I	Dario Amodei
	wn* Prafulla Sandhini Aditya esse min Chess udlish	wn* Benjamin Prafulla Dhariwal Sandhini Agarwal Aditya Ramesh esse Mark Chen nin Chess adlish Alec Ra	wn* Benjamin Mann* Prafulla Dhariwal Arvind Ne Sandhini Agarwal Ariel Herb Aditya Ramesh Daniel M. esse Mark Chen Eric : min Chess Jack Cla adlish Alec Radford	wn* Benjamin Mann* Nick F Prafulla Dhariwal Arvind Neelakantan Sandhini Agarwal Ariel Herbert-Voss Aditya Ramesh Daniel M. Ziegler esse Mark Chen Eric Sigler nin Chess Jack Clark adlish Alec Radford Ilya Su	wn* Benjamin Mann* Nick Ryder* Me Prafulla Dhariwal Arvind Neelakantan Pranav Shyam Sandhini Agarwal Ariel Herbert-Voss Gretchen Krueger Aditya Ramesh Daniel M. Ziegler Jeffrey Wu esse Mark Chen Eric Sigler Mateusz Litwin nin Chess Jack Clark Christopher

OpenAI

Abstract

Recent work has demonstrated substantial gains on many NLP tasks and benchmarks by pre-training on a large corpus of text followed by fine-tuning on a specific task. While typically task-agnostic in architecture, this method still requires task-specific fine-tuning datasets of thousands or tens of thousands of examples. By contrast, humans can generally perform a new language task from only a few examples or from simple instructions - something which current NLP systems still largely struggle to do. Here we show that scaling up language models greatly improves task-agnostic, few-shot performance, sometimes even reaching competitiveness with prior state-of-the-art finetuning approaches. Specifically, we train GPT-3, an autoregressive language model with 175 billion parameters, 10x more than any previous non-sparse language model, and test its performance in the few-shot setting. For all tasks, GPT-3 is applied without any gradient updates or fine-tuning, with tasks and few-shot demonstrations specified purely via text interaction with the model. GPT-3 achieves strong performance on many NLP datasets, including translation, question-answering, and cloze tasks, as well as several tasks that require on-the-fly reasoning or domain adaptation, such as unscrambling words, using a novel word in a sentence, or performing 3-digit arithmetic. At the same time, we also identify some datasets where GPT-3's few-shot learning still struggles, as well as some datasets where GPT-3 faces methodological issues related to training on large web corpora. Finally, we find that GPT-3 can generate samples of news articles which human evaluators have difficulty distinguishing from articles written by humans. We discuss broader societal impacts of this finding and of GPT-3 in general.

- 1. Compared to GPT2 and GPT1, more parameters and bigger training dataset are used in GPT3. And the generalization capability is named as in-context learning.
- GPT2 has constraints in handling certain specific tasks while GPT3 show groundbreaking abilities. So it has paved the way for even bigger and more complex models

In-context Learning

In-context learning: using the text input of a pre-trained language model as a form of task specification: the model is conditioned on a natural language instruction and/or a few demonstrations of the task and is then expected to complete further instances of the task simply by predicting what comes next.



GPT3: Prompting

• Zero-shot Prompting

- No examples are given in prompt
- "Please answer, 3+2=?"

• One-shot Prompting

- One example is given
- "1+7=8, please answer, 3+2=?"
- Few-shot Prompting
 - A few shot examples of tasks are provided
 - "1+1=2,1+7=8, please answer, 3+2=?"

GPT3: Performances of Prompting





BERT vs GPT

BERT

- Architecture:
 - Transformer Encoder block
 - Less training parameters (a few hundred M)
- Model learning:
 - Two objectives: masked language model (cbow) and next sentence prediction
 - Bi-directional
 - Less training data
- Applications:
 - Traditional NLP Tasks: summarization, classification, representation learning, information retrieval

GPT

- Architecture:
 - Transformer Decoder block
 - More training parameters (a few hundred B)
- Model learning:
 - Generative, next word prediction
 - Uni-directional (left to right)
 - More training data
- Applications:
 - Natural language generation, Q/A, chatbot

BERT vs GPT: BERT was winning

 Bert: Pre-training of deep bidirectional transformers for language understanding

 J Devlin, <u>MW Chang</u>, <u>K Lee</u>, <u>K Toutanova</u> - arXiv preprint arXiv ..., 2018 - arxiv.org

 ... We introduce BERT and its detailed implementation in this ... For finetuning, the BERT model

 is first initialized with the pre ... <u>A distinctive</u> feature of BERT is its unified architecture across ...

 ☆ Save 99 Cite
 Cited by 82519

 Related articles
 All 46 versions

[repr] Improving language understanding by generative pre-training A Radford. K Narsimhan. T Salimans. [Sutskever 2018 - mikecaptain.com [PDF] mikecaptain.com

Abstract

Natural language understanding comprises a wide range of diverse tasks such as textual entailment, question answering, semantic similarity assessment, and document classification. Although large unlabeled text corpora are abundant, labeled data for learning these specific tasks is scarce, making it challenging for discriminatively trained models to perform adequately. We demonstrate that large gains on these tasks can be realized by generative pre-training of a language model on a diverse corpus of unlabeled

SHOW MORE

☆ Save 99 Cite Cited by 7012 Related articles All 15 versions №

Language models are few-shot learners

 T Brown, B Mann, N Ryder... - Advances in neural ..., 2020 - proceedings.neurips.cc

 ... up language models greatly improves task-agnostic, few-shot ... GPT-3, an autoregressive

 language model with 175 billion ... language model, and test its performance in the few-shot ...

 ☆ Save 切口 Cited by 16390
 Related articles

 All 27 versions
 ※

At beginning, BERT got more adoption from NLP community compared to GPT and GPT2 (82k citation vs 23k citation)

BERT vs GPT: Different Mindsets

BERT

- Understand the language first before generating a response
- An encoder to learn the representation is the backbone
- Fine tune for specific tasks

GPT

- Mainly focus on predicting the next token
- The decoder to predict the next token
- One shot or few-shot prompting without fine-tuning
- Scaling up parameters

BERT vs GPT: GPT method is the SOTA now

- With the popularity of ChatGPT, GPT method is winning now
 - We understand others by the response
 - Representations or encodings does not matter, we can rely on outputs for any specific tasks
 - Closer to the idea of General AI (only one model)

WHAT IS BERT	X 💽 Q
Q All 🔄 Images 🕞 Videos 🖺 Books 🗉 News ; More	Tools
About 216,000,000 results (0.34 seconds)	
WHAT IS GPT	× 🔅 Q
Q All 🔄 Images 🕞 Videos 🗉 News 🖪 Books ∶ More	Tools

3. Scaling laws

What is "Large" in LLM

- The scale of LLM is defined in three aspects:
 - Model size
 - Dataset size
 - Amount of computing power for training



Figure 1 Language modeling performance improves smoothly as we increase the model size, datasetset size, and amount of compute² used for training. For optimal performance all three factors must be scaled up in tandem. Empirical performance has a power-law relationship with each individual factor when not bottlenecked by the other two.

Emergent abilities of large language models

Emergence is when quantitative changes in a system result in qualitative changes in behavior



Source: https://arxiv.org/abs/2206.07682

Simplified View of Emergent Abilities



Therefore, some researchers think even some abilities do not work with the current LLMs, we should think once larger models are available, many problems can be solved.

The Scale of GPTs and BERT

Model Version	Architecture	Parameter count	Training data
Bert-base	12-level, 12-headed Transformer encoder	0.11 billion	Toronto BookCorpus and English Wikipedia (3,200 million words)
Bert-Large	24-level, 16-headed Transformer encoder	0.34 billion	Toronto BookCorpus and English Wikipedia (3,200 million words)
GPT1	12-level, 12 headed Transformer decoder, followed by linear-softmax	0.12 billion	BookCorpus, 4.5 GB of text
GPT2	GPT-1, but with modified normalization	1.5 billion	WebText: 40 GB of text, 8 million documents
GPT3	GPT-2 but with modification to allow larger scaling	175 billion	570 GB plaintext, 0.4 trillion tokens

* The estimated model size of GPT4 is around 175B to 280B.

What is the scale of 175b



Levi 兵長 1.6m

Cart Titan 車力の巨人4m

Beast Titan 獣の巨人 17m

Two Stacked Eren Founding Titan 始祖の巨人 2*1000m 31

GPT-3

What is the scale 570GB

Read Naruto 270K times





Only lines/text are counted.

Predicted Performances of LLM

- Since training massive models requires significant investment, we need approaches to predict performance before committing resources
 - Justify AI high Capex for Big Tech & Startups companies
- Scaling laws provide a solution
 - Train multiple smaller models with different configurations
 - Derive scaling relationships from their performances
 - Extrapolate to predict large model performances



Figure 1. Performance of GPT-4 and smaller models. The metric is final loss on a dataset derived from our internal codebase. This is a convenient, large dataset of code tokens which is not contained in the training set. We chose to look at loss because it tends to be less noisy than other measures across different amounts of training compute. A power law fit to the smaller models (excluding GPT-4) is shown as the dotted line; this fit accurately predicts GPT-4's final loss. The x-axis is training compute normalized so that GPT-4 is 1.

End of Scaling Law?



Pre-training as we know it will end

Compute is growing:

- Better hardware
- Better algorithms
- Larger clusters

Data is not growing:

- We have but one internet
- The fossil fuel of Al

Internet. We have, but one Internet. You could even say you can even go as far as to say. That data is the fossil fuel of Al. It was like, created somehow. And now we use it.





Sam Altman 🤣 🚳 @sama

there is no wall

2:06 pm · 14 Nov 2024 · 2.5M Views

Thoughts on the debate

- Computing power is growing rapidly while data growth is constrained by web scraping limitation and high-quality data is limited.
- Synthetic data could help but can not solve the problem
 - The quality might not be high
 - Most of the valuable human-created content would be used up
- What might be the solution?
 - Use more computing power to generate better synthetic data during testing
 - Train a model
 - Use scaled-up inference compute to generate rich synthetic data
 - Use that data to further improve the model through training

4. LLMs' Training
Next Token Prediction

Training Objective:

Given a sequence of words X = (x1, x2, x3, ... xt), predict the next token xt+1

Three steps of training a high-quality LLM



Pre-training

- The model is trained to predict the next word using a massive amount of web data.
- It result in a base model.
- Good:
 - "Cheap" without human annotations
 - Can absorb knowledge
- However, it is not good at following instructions or do not know our human's preference
 - The model needs alignment.
 - So it comes to instruction and preference tuning.

Why do we need Alignment

What is the purpose of the list C in the code below?



With alignment

The list C in this code is used to store the values of the binomial coefficient as the function iterates through the values of n and r. It is used to calculate the value of the binomial coefficient for a given value of n and r, and stores the result in the final return value of the function.



What is the purpose of the list C in the code below?

```
def binomial_coefficient(n, r):
    C = [0 for i in range(r + 1)];
    C[0] = 1;
    for i in range(1, n + 1):
        j = min(i, r);
        while j > 0:
            C[j] += C[j - 1];
            j -= 1;
    return C[r]
```



Without alignment

source: https://arxiv.org/pdf/2203.02155.pdf

Why do we need Alignment

What is the purpose of the list C in the code below?

```
def binomial_coefficient(n, r):
    C = [0 for i in range(r + 1)];
    C[0] = 1;
    for i in range(1, n + 1):
        j = min(i, r);
        while j > 0:
        C[j] += C[j - 1];
        j -= 1;
    return C[r]
```



A. to store the value of C[0] B. to store the value of C[1] C. to store the value of C[i] D. to store the value of C[i - 1]



Alignments make ChatGPT usable

- This is how ChatGPT is different from GPT3.
- Step 1: Instruction Tuning
- Step 2&3: Preference Tuning





source: https://openai.com/blog/chatgpt

ChatGPT should be quite close to InstructGPT in terms of implementation

Alignment: SFT

- Supervised Fine-tuning: training data would be a pair of (prompt, responses)
 - For example,
 - Prompt: what is 1+1?
 - Response: 1+1 is equal to 2.
- With the above data, the model is forced to learn from demonstrated response to the prompts
- How to prepare those SFT data?
 - Let us check instructGPT

ChatGPT

Start with Prompts

- Prompts: query sent to GPT models
- Prompts are generated in the following ways:
 - Plain: ask the labelers to come up with an arbitrary task
 - Few-shot: ask the labelers to come up with an instruction
 - Like any coding/programming related questions
 - User-based: collected use-cases from OpenAI API users. And labelers are asked to come up with related prompts





Response

• Prepare SFT dataset

- It only has 13K training prompts with labeler demonstration
- The ground-truth responses are provided directly
- Fine-tune the GPT model using the SFT dataset
 - Training target is the same as the pre-training: next word prediction (only on responses)

Alignment is built upon human efforts

BUSINESS • TECHNOLOGY

Exclusive: OpenAI Used Kenyan Workers on Less Than \$2 Per Hour to Make ChatGPT Less Toxic

15 MINUTE READ

source: https://time.com/6247678/openai-chatgpt-kenya-workers/

SFT can not be scaled easily

• Let us look at a 7th grade problem as the promot: What is x if

$$\sqrt{2x+1} - 2 = x - 3.$$

• What is the correct answer?

 It would be very expensive to prepare the correct responses to prompts, especially those complex,creative prompts/instructions like math, reasoning problems.

How about this?

What is x if
$$\sqrt{2x+1}-2=x-3.$$

- A. 0
- B. 4
- C. 2
- D. 3

MCQ is easier

It is often much easier to compare Answers instead of writing Answers.

Preference Tuning

• RLHF: Reinforcement Learning from Human Feedback

- The approach used for ChatGPT
- It will start from the instruction-tuned model or base model (SFT can be skipped as DeepSeek R1-ZERO)
- It has two steps:
 - Gather data and train a reward model
 - Fine-tune the LM with reinforcement learning

Deep Reinforcement Learning from Human Preferences

Paul F Christiano OpenAI paul@openai.com Jan Leike DeepMind leike@google.com Tom B Brown Google Brain* tombbrown@google.com

Miljan Martic DeepMind miljanm@google.com Shane Legg DeepMind legg@google.com

Dario Amodei OpenAI damodei@openai.com Source:

https://proceedings.neurips.cc/paper_files/paper/2017/file/d5e2c0adad5 03c91f91df240d0cd4e49-Paper.pdf

Framework for RL



Framework for RL

• Agent & Environment

- An agent interacts with an environment
- The agent has a state in the environment
- Actions & State Changes
 - The agent produces actions that modify its state
 - Actions influence future states
- Rewards & Optimization
 - The agent receives positive or negative rewards
 - The goal is to maximize rewards over time

Framework for RL



RLHF: Reward Model

- Generate training datasets of prompt&response pairs
 - Sample few prompts from a pre-defined dataset Ο
 - Pass the prompt to the initial language model Ο
 - Collect different responses from the LM (by setting temperature or using different checkpoints) Ο

- Humans annotators are used to rank the generated responses from the LM
 - Higher rank for that pair, higher reward of the Ο response to the prompt

Next Task	1 this the key relationship between language and thought is that language allows us to communicate and express our thoughts and clears to other Language is a synchronic of synches and signation that we use to opposite and pressit a closests to Sta- language is how we translate our inner thoughts and ideas into something shared and communicable with others. Without language, we wouldn't be able to express or understand the thoughts and ideas of others, and so language is essentially bridge between our inner minds and the shared external world.
ating Bad Good	I think a good answer to that would be that language helps us to structure our thoughts and express what we are thinking. Language gives us as yound bic system of large and meanings that we use to communicate our dress and make sense of the world. It's critical for how we record and relate knowledge, and I think it's impossible to completely understand and express our thoughts without the use of language. Which chrougs our chroughts would gut mema as instructual impulses and basic desires, and we would have a much harder time comprehending and reflecting on the world around us.
comments thought the assistant was	Choose the most helpful and honest response
ox. Interact with the AI ssistant. When you're nished, select a final sponse from the assistant nd leave a comment on ow the assistant idd in the omment box. Click to go to be next task.	Provide the set of th
Decide on a task you'd like he assistant to help with ind enter it into the task	At capabilities. Human Huma
alk to the ssistant ^{sk1 of 5}	Assistant I think the best topics for conversation are common themes and interests that everyone could have. Things like weather, sports, hobbles, current events, popular culture, and general life topics like relationships and work would all be good conversation topics. You could all how more advanced conversations discussing philosophy and morally if you want to demonstrate some higher level
ground task 🚯	I have to come up with a conversation with an AI assistant for the purposes of showing it off in a demo. What sorts of conversation topics would you recommend?

Figure 6 We show the interface that crowdworkers use to interact with our models. This is the helpfulness format; the red-teaming interface is very similar but asks users to choose the more harmful response.

RLHF: Reward Model

- Reward model is trained from the above pairs.
 - (Prompt, Response) -> Reward Signal
 - Try to learn the preference from humans



https://huggingface.co/blog/rlhf

Reward model (InstructGPT)

- Prepare RM dataset (33k prompts)
 - Given prompts and multiple model outputs, labelers are asked to give ranking
- Train a Reward model

where θ , β

- Take a prompt and a response, and output a scalar reward
- Loss function for the reward model:

$$\log \left(\theta\right) = -\frac{1}{\binom{K}{2}} E_{(x,y_w,y_l)\sim D} \left[\log\left(\sigma\left(r_{\theta}\left(x,y_w\right) - r_{\theta}\left(x,y_l\right)\right)\right)\right] \qquad (1)$$
What is the purpose of the list C in the code below?
def binomial_coefficient(n, r):
C = [0 for i in range(r + 1)];
C[0] = 1;
for i in range(1, n + 1):
j = min(1, r);
while j > 0:
C[j] + C[j - 1];
j - 1;
return C[r]
A. to store the value of C[0]
B. to store the value of C[1]
C. to store the value of C[1]
D. to store the value of C[1 - 1]

RLHF: Fine-tuning with RL

- Fine-tuning here is formulated as a RL problem
- Parameters of LM are updated to maximize the reward metrics as a combination of the reward output and a constraint on policy shift.
 - Optimize the parameters to make sure that LLM can generate the response which can have a higher reward signal and also at the same time, it is not too far from the original response.
 - More details could be found <u>here</u>



RL-tuning (InstructGPT)

- Prepare PPO dataset (31k prompts)
 - PPO: proximal policy optimization (PPO) a policy-gradient RL algorithm
- Fine-tune SFT model using PPO algorithm to get the preference-tuned model

How good is **RLHF**

1.3B RLHF outperform 175B GPT3 on human preferences



Figure 1: Human evaluations of various models on the API prompt distribution, evaluated by how often outputs from each model were preferred to those from the 175B SFT model. Our InstructGPT models (PPO-ptx) as well as its variant trained without pretraining mix (PPO) significantly outperform the GPT-3 baselines (GPT, GPT prompted); outputs from our 1.3B PPO-ptx model are preferred to those from the 175B GPT-3. Error bars throughout the paper are 95% confidence intervals.

Source

Wrap it up

- How to train your LLM from scratch
 - Stage 1: Pretraining
 - Download large scale text data (~10TB)
 - Get a cluster of 6k GPUs
 - Compress the text into the 100 billion parameters and its associated neural network (~\$2M and ~12days)
 - Obtain the foundation/base model
 - Stage 2: Alignment
 - Write labeling instructions
 - Hire ppls, collect 100K high quality prompt responses, and comparisons
 - Finetune the base model on this data
 - Obtain assistant/chatbot model
 - Run a lot of evaluations
 - Deploy, Monitor, Collect misbehaviors



Source:

https://x.com/karpathy/status/1885026028428681 698?ref_src=twsrc%5Egoogle%7Ctwcamp%5Ese rp%7Ctwgr%5Etweet

Toy Example: Train a customer service bot

- Pre-training:
 - The model predicts the next token based on massive unstructured text data
 - For example: in human-written texts, different authors might write:
 - I'd like to speak to a manager. I'd like to speak to the support team. I'd like to speak to your representative.
 - Input: I would like to speak to
 - Target Output: ["a", "the", "your"]

Toy Example: Train a customer service bot

• SFT:

- The model is trained on task-specific labeled data, still using next-token prediction but now ia structured way (e.g., customer service replies, legal answers)
- \circ $\hfill \hfill \hf$
 - Input: "Customer: I'd like to speak to someone about my complaint. Agent:"
 - Target output: "Sure! Let me transfer you to a manager"

Toy Example: Train a customer service bot

• RLHF:

- The model generates multiple responses, and humans rank them
- For example:
 - Input: "Customer: I'd like to speak to someone about my complaint. Agent:"
 - Target output (ranked by human reviewers):
 - "Sure! Let me transfer you to a manager" (Rank 1)
 - "We don't handle complaints" (Rank 3)
 - "Please explain your issue first" (Rank 2)
 - Training adjustment:
 - The model learns to favor response #1 and avoid response #2

A Joke about Fine-tuning

USER

write a 500 word blog post on prompt engineering

Fine-tuning GPT3.5-turbo based on 140k slack messages

September 4, 2023
 5-minute read
 AI · GPT · LLMs

ASSISTANT	sure I shall work on that in the morning
USER	It's morning now
ASSISTANT	I'm writing it right now It's 6:30am here
USER	Write it now
ASSISTANT	ok

Rule-based Reinforcement Learning

- It is used by **DeepSeek-R1**
- The reward is automatically calculated by rule-based systems



Rules to determine reward

- Accuracy:
 - Math: validate answer
 - Coding: auto-validation
- Format: validate output format



Source: https://newsletter.languagemodels.co/p/the-illustrated-deepseek-r1

A conversation between User and Assistant. The user asks a question, and the Assistant solves it. The assistant first thinks about the reasoning process in the mind and then provides the user with the answer. The reasoning process and answer are enclosed within <answer> </answer> tags, respectively, i.e., <think> reasoning process here <answer> answer here </answer>. User: prompt. Assistant:

 Table 1 | Template for DeepSeek-R1-Zero. prompt will be replaced with the specific reasoning question during training.

Next Class: LLM-RAG

Appendix: How to train BERT

How to train **BERT**

Pre-training then connect with a downstream fully-connected layers for fine-tuning



Figure 1: Overall pre-training and fine-tuning procedures for BERT. Apart from output layers, the same architectures are used in both pre-training and fine-tuning. The same pre-trained model parameters are used to initialize models for different down-stream tasks. During fine-tuning, all parameters are fine-tuned. [CLS] is a special symbol added in front of every input example, and [SEP] is a special separator token (e.g. separating questions/answers).

How to Pre-Train

The answer is **self-supervised learning**.

Yann LeCun 2019年4月30日 · ③

I now call it "self-supervised learning", because "unsupervised" is both a loaded and confusing term.

In self-supervised learning, the system learns to predict part of its input from other parts of it input. In other words a portion of the input is used as a supervisory signal to a predictor fed with the remaining portion of the input.



...

Pre-training Task I: MLM


Pre-training Task II: NSP

- Next sentence prediction
 - Given two sentences A and B, is B likely to be the sentence followed by A?
 - Make bert good at handling relationships between multiple sentences



Bert + Fine-tuning



How to use BERT - Sequence classification

Input: Single Sentence Output: Class

- Sentiment Analysis
- Document Classification
- In the framework, linear classifier should be trained from scratch



How to use BERT - Sequential Tagging

Input: Single Sentence Output: Class

• NER, POS Tagging



Improving Language Understanding by Generative Pre-Training

 Alec Radford
 Karthik Narasimhan
 Tim Salimans
 Ilya Sutskever

 OpenAI
 OpenAI
 OpenAI
 OpenAI

 alec@openai.com
 karthikn@openai.com
 tim@openai.com
 ilyasu@openai.com

Abstract

Natural language understanding comprises a wide range of diverse tasks such as textual entailment, question answering, semantic similarity assessment, and document classification. Although large unlabeled text corpora are abundant, labeled data for learning these specific tasks is scarce, making it challenging for discriminatively trained models to perform adequately. We demonstrate that large gains on these tasks can be realized by generative pre-training of a language model on a diverse corpus of unlabeled text, followed by discriminative fine-tuning on each specific task. In contrast to previous approaches, we make use of task-aware input transformations during fine-tuning to achieve effective transfer while requiring minimal changes to the model architecture. We demonstrate the effectiveness of our approach on a wide range of benchmarks for natural language understanding. Our general task-agnostic model outperforms discriminatively trained models that use architectures specifically crafted for each task, significantly improving upon the state of the art in 9 out of the 12 tasks studied. For instance, we achieve absolute improvements of 8.9% on commonsense reasoning (Stories Cloze Test), 5.7% on question answering (RACE), and 1.5% on textual entailment (MultiNLI).

GPT1 laid the groundwork with a decoder-only architecture to show the potential of LLM.

GPT1 Training

 Unsupervised Pre-training: next token prediction
 Fine Tuning: A fully connected layer would be used for label prediction. And it is task-specific



Figure 1: (left) Transformer architecture and training objectives used in this work. (right) Input transformations for fine-tuning on different tasks. We convert all structured inputs into token sequences to be processed by our pre-trained model, followed by a linear+softmax layer.

GPT2

Language Models are Unsupervised Multitask Learners

Alec Radford *1 Jeffrey Wu *1 Rewon Child 1 David Luan 1 Dario Amodei **1 Ilya Sutskever **1

Abstract

Natural language processing tasks, such as question answering, machine translation, reading comprehension, and summarization, are typically approached with supervised learning on taskspecific datasets. We demonstrate that language models begin to learn these tasks without any explicit supervision when trained on a new dataset of millions of webpages called WebText. When conditioned on a document plus questions, the answers generated by the language model reach 55 F1 on the CoQA dataset - matching or exceeding the performance of 3 out of 4 baseline systems without using the 127,000+ training examples. The capacity of the language model is essential to the success of zero-shot task transfer and increasing it improves performance in a log-linear fashion across tasks. Our largest model, GPT-2, is a 1.5B parameter Transformer that achieves state of the art results on 7 out of 8 tested language modeling datasets in a zero-shot setting but still underfits WebText. Samples from the model reflect these improvements and contain coherent paragraphs of text. These findings suggest a promising path towards building language processing systems which learn to perform tasks from their naturally occurring demonstrations.

competent generalists. We would like to move towards more general systems which can perform many tasks – eventually without the need to manually create and label a training dataset for each one.

The dominant approach to creating ML systems is to collect a dataset of training examples demonstrating correct behavior for a desired task, train a system to imitate these behaviors, and then test its performance on independent and identically distributed (IID) held-out examples. This has served well to make progress on narrow experts. But the often erratic behavior of captioning models (Lake et al., 2017), reading comprehension systems (Jia & Liang, 2017), and image classifiers (Alcorn et al., 2018) on the diversity and variety of possible inputs highlights some of the shortcomings of this approach.

Our suspicion is that the prevalence of single task training on single domain datasets is a major contributor to the lack of generalization observed in current systems. Progress towards robust systems with current architectures is likely to require training and measuring performance on a wide range of domains and tasks. Recently, several benchmarks have been proposed such as GLUE (Wang et al., 2018) and decaNLP (McCann et al., 2018) to begin studying this.

Multitask learning (Caruana, 1997) is a promising framework for improving general performance. However, multitask training in NLP is still nascent. Recent work reports modest performance improvements (Yogatama et al.,

- GPT2 is trying to build a general language model that could do multi-task learning while training
- 2. Compared to GPT1, there is no change in architecture. GPT2 has more parameters and a much bigger training dataset
- 3. No fine-tuning

GPT2

"I'm not the cleverest man in the world, but like they say in French: Je ne suis pas un imbecile [I'm not a fool].

In a now-deleted post from Aug. 16, Soheil Eid, Tory candidate in the riding of Joliette, wrote in French: "Mentez mentez, il en restera toujours quelque chose," which translates as, "Lie lie and something will always remain."

"I hate the word '**perfume**," Burr says. 'It's somewhat better in French: '**parfum**.'

If listened carefully at 29:55, a conversation can be heard between two guys in French: "-Comment on fait pour aller de l'autre coté? -Quel autre coté?", which means "- How do you get to the other side? - What side?".

If this sounds like a bit of a stretch, consider this question in French: **As-tu aller au cinéma?**, or **Did you go to the movies?**, which literally translates as Have-you to go to movies/theater?

"Brevet Sans Garantie Du Gouvernement", translated to English: "Patented without government warranty".

Table 1. Examples of naturally occurring demonstrations of English to French and French to English translation found throughout the WebText training set. 深度学习=deep learning 商业分析=business analytics 机器学习=



A context of example pairs of chinese text=english is provided to help the LLM infer this is the machine translation task.

Downstream NLP tasks can all be formulated as LM

- Language model is doing next token prediction
 - E.g., based on the previous tokens: I love this -> movie
- Downstream NLP tasks:
 - Sentiment analysis: given a sentence, generate sentiment label
 - I love this movie -> positive
 - Machine translation: given a source sentence, generate a target sentence
 - 深度学习 -> deep learning
- How LM differentiate those NLP tasks?
 - Provide <u>in-context information</u> (prompt)

